



A Philosophical Inquiry into Creativity and Synthesis in Indian Thought

Dr. Veeramalla Sreesailam,

Assistant Professor, School of Interdisciplinary and Trans-disciplinary Studies

Indira Gandhi National Open University

Abstract:

The Indian knowledge system is rooted in a traditional framework that has evolved continuously since the dawn of human civilization, making Indian culture one of the most ancient in world history. This ongoing process of reformation has ensured the system's progression over time. Characterized by curiosity, inquiry, creativity, and discovery, the Indian knowledge system has fostered innovation and the global exchange of ideas. Indian scholars, scientists, and philosophers have long been dedicated to advancing knowledge and promoting mutual understanding across the world. A key feature of the Indian knowledge system is its focus on the holistic development of individuals, addressing both material and spiritual needs. It encourages multidirectional thinking, or a synthetic approach, which fosters creativity. Here, creativity is seen as the ability to develop new and practical solutions to human challenges, rooted in humanistic ideals. It is understood as the generation of novel and useful ideas.

Indian philosophy encompasses the speculations of thinkers from various religious traditions. It addresses issues of ethics, logic, metaphysics, psychology, and epistemology in an integrated manner, rather than isolating them into distinct disciplines. This holistic approach provides effective solutions to human problems. In contrast, Western thought tends to follow an analytical framework, often offering partial solutions that fail to address the broader context, sometimes resulting in conflicts and wars. Indian thought, by promoting harmony and adhering to a non-violent framework, aims to unify ideas and people.

Key Words: Indian knowledge system, Creativity, Holistic development, Multidirectional thinking, Synthetic approach, Analytic approach, Non-violent frame work, Globalizing knowledge.

Introduction

Every Indian system of philosophy is vast and complex, making it impossible to fully comprehend in a brief manner. Modern individuals often find it difficult to understand Indian thought and its associated problems. Reality has multiple aspects, and truth is multifaceted. Each school of thought in India approaches reality from a particular perspective or mode of experience, emphasizing one aspect of the truth. Despite their differences, all Indian schools of thought share common features. They never reject any other school based on conventional criticism. In Indian philosophy, the goal is the pursuit of truth. A person who knows the truth is freed from all forms of worldly knowledge. In contrast, Western thought diverges in its approach. Western philosophy tends to focus on the specialization of problems related to the universe, often failing to explore the full breadth of each issue.

The key philosophical queries in both the Eastern and Western worlds are essentially the same, and there are striking similarities in their solutions. Indian thought follows an integral approach, while Western thought tends to be more analytical. The Indian approach has been described by thinkers like Sir B.N. Seal as the "synthetic outlook" of Indian philosophy. Indian philosophy encompasses the speculations of ancient, medieval, and modern Indian thinkers, including Hindus, non-Hindus, theists, and atheists. Indian philosophy is also sometimes referred to as Hindu philosophy, where the term "Hindu" is used in a geographical sense, rather than a religious one. For example, the ancient thoughts of orthodox Hindu philosophers, such as in Madhvacharya's *Sharma Darshana Sangrah*, draw from the views of all schools of Indian philosophy (Darshanas), including both orthodox and unorthodox thoughts.

A distinctive feature of Indian philosophy is its remarkable breadth of outlook, which reflects its unwavering commitment to the search for truth. Despite the existence of many different schools of thought with varying views, each school learned from the others and shared certain common aspects of thought. This spirit paved the way for the development of a method of philosophical discussion in India, characterized by a respectful consideration of rival positions. Indian thinkers maintained this spirit of intellectual exchange. For example, if we study the Vedanta school, we can find deeper insights into the views of other schools, such as Charaka, Buddhism, Jainism, Sankhya, Yoga, Mimamsa, Nyaya, and Vaisheshika. Similarly, comprehensive works on Jainism and Buddhism emphasize the connections to and influence of other schools of Indian philosophy.

The Indian philosophical system serves as an encyclopedia for understanding ideas. Various issues and challenges of contemporary Western philosophy have been discussed in Indian schools of thought. This reflects the advanced intellectual processes of Indian philosophers, demonstrating their ability to address Western philosophical questions in an astonishing way. The greatness of Indian thought lies in its openness of mind, which fosters a willingness to listen to and engage with the ideas of others. Historically, however, Indian thought was suppressed by British imperialism, leading many Indian intellectuals to embrace Western philosophy. Additionally, social evils in India contributed to the lack of support for indigenous philosophical traditions. An excessive emphasis on internal systems, epics, and Puranas led to misunderstandings about Indian philosophy, facilitated by priestly and princely influences.

Unfortunately, most Western thinkers failed to properly understand the depth and significance of Indian philosophy. However, many of the groundbreaking ideas introduced by Western philosophers in modern times had already been addressed by Indian thinkers ahead of their time. The synthetic or integral approach of Indian philosophy fosters creativity and innovation. In contrast, the analytical or piecemeal approach of Western thinkers, which focuses on excessive specialization, has often denied the wholeness of human knowledge, making it somewhat unnatural to the human mind. Over-specialization in thought has, in the long run, led to philosophical problems and even violence. It has also acted as an obstacle to creativity and innovation, disrupting the continuity of philosophical thought.

Indian philosophy has also endeavored to explore the human spiritual quest based on a strong foundation of metaphysics. Historically, the Indian thought process has offered an integral or synthetic approach to understanding society, life, and the cosmos, encompassing a vast range of disciplines. From Ayurveda and yoga to the philosophical concepts of the Vedanta and Nyaya schools, Indian thought follows a pluralistic tradition that promotes both sustainability and unity. The aim of studying Indian philosophy is to broaden an individual's perspective, leading to alternative approaches to inquiry.

Indian thought also seeks to transform attitudes toward knowledge and re-establish the structuring of scientific thought. Since ancient times, Hindustan has encountered various powers that exploited its wealth and sought to undermine its well-developed cultural framework, philosophy, and belief systems. However, throughout ancient, medieval, and modern periods, India has successfully preserved its rich cultural heritage and ethical framework. This resilience is rooted in Indian philosophy, which follows an integral or synthetic approach, paving the way for continuous creativity and innovation.

Creativity:

According to Rick Lewis, *“Going beyond the standard definition, however, the essence of creativity is not just about valuable new products; fascinatingly, it is also about the kind of process that generates them. For one thing, the creative process needs to be performed by an agent, by a being who is responsible for what they are doing. A water droplet freezing around a particle produces a unique snowflake, something new and aesthetically valuable. But the water droplet is not creative. That is because it is not an agent. Real creativity is an expression of agency.”* In the present times, AI systems are producing new artworks. However, these artworks do not truly belong to the realm of creativity because it is not evident that these systems possess creative agency. One of the fascinating aspects of creative thought is the method it follows, often taking the creator by surprise. Creators from all fields express that they were not even focused on the specific problem when, all of a sudden, inspiration struck. The remarkable nature of creative thought is linked to the operation of the unconscious mind.

Plato, the ancient Greek philosopher, believed that creativity is mystical and divinely inspired, grounded in perennial forms rather than human skill. These perennial forms, according to him, lead to truth. Aristotle, on the other hand, viewed creativity as an essential part of human nature, closely related to reason. He believed that creative works reveal something about the nature of reality while serving a specific purpose. Immanuel Kant linked creativity to art and aesthetics. In *Critique of Judgment*, he expressed that artistic creations are first formed in the human mind, where beauty, harmony, autonomy, and genius play dominant roles. According to Kant, an artist is a rule-breaker, and an artwork is regarded as beautiful due to its harmonious blend of content and form. All of this is established through creative genius.

The common features of Indian schools of thought:

One of the primary reasons for the continuity of Indian culture since ancient times is Indian philosophy, which serves as the essence of its culture and civilization. Hence, any form of imperialism in India has failed to suppress Indian culture. All Indian schools of thought are called *Darshanas* in India, as they share unity in moral and spiritual aspects. This signifies an underlying agreement between different *Darshanas*. All these schools of thought emphasize the application of philosophy in daily life, guiding human beings on how to lead life in the best possible way. This pursuit fulfills intellectual curiosity and enlightens life with foresight and insight. As a result, Indian philosophy propounded the concept of *Purusharthas* to help individuals achieve human goals. At the same time, this practical approach to philosophy never hindered the development of Indian philosophical thought.

Theories of Indian metaphysics, epistemology, and logic were developed to a greater extent than in Western thought. The practice of *Purusharthas* helps eradicate pessimism in human life and provides meaning and purpose. However, this has often been misunderstood by Western philosophers.

Rational framework and synthetic Outlook in Indian philosophy:

Philosophical questions cannot be solved through mere observation. A philosopher must rely on reasoning and imagination to address fundamental questions such as: *What is the ultimate cause of the world?* and *What is the nature of God?*

The foundation of philosophy is experience. A philosopher should answer questions in a manner consistent with truth, based on their experience. In the Indian philosophical system, some schools follow ordinary human experience, while others rely on the experiences of saints, seers, or prophets. In the evolution of Indian philosophy, the *Vedas* have occupied a prominent position. Schools that accept the authority of the *Vedas* are called orthodox systems (*Astika*), while those that reject their authority are referred to as unorthodox systems (*Nastika*). Reasoning is an essential tool for speculation in both orthodox and unorthodox systems. Schools such as *Nyaya*, *Vaisheshika*, *Sankhya*, and *Charvaka* base their philosophy on ordinary experience, similar to modern European philosophy. However, the *Mimamsa* and *Vedanta* schools regard the experiences of great saints or seers—who have attained direct realization (*Sakshatkara* or *Darshana*)—as the foundation of their philosophy. Even Buddhist and Jain schools sometimes rely on the teachings of *Buddha* and *Mahavira*, who are considered perfect and omniscient.

Medieval European scholastic philosophy was based on the authority of Christian scriptures. Indian philosophy has often been criticized for lacking independent reasoning and for being overly reliant on authority, leading to dogmatism rather than critical thought. However, most Indian schools of thought are founded on free thinking, resembling modern European schools of philosophy. Although the *Mimamsa* and *Vedanta* schools follow the authority of the *Vedas*, their ideas have been developed and supported through authentic debate. Even if one disregards scriptural authority, these ideas can stand on their own and compare favorably with any philosophical thought system based purely on reason.

Theories of metaphysics and speculation do not merely address the ever-changing aspects of life but focus on its perennial truths. They maintain a sense of eternity, unaffected by external changes in the world. However, in reality, these philosophical systems are shaped by the environment in which they develop and by the progress of human thought. In India, philosophy has had a greater influence on society than in any other region of the world. Buddhism played a key role in this process, yet the six classical systems of Indian philosophy (*Shad Darshanas*) were significantly influenced by Buddhist philosophy as well.

All six systems of Indian philosophy, known as *Shad Darshanas*, are regarded as orthodox (*Astika*). Although they differ in their approaches and conclusions, they share many common ideas. These systems encompass a wide spectrum of beliefs, including polytheism, theism with a personal god, pure monism, and even a system that does not recognize the existence of God at all, instead explaining reality based on its own theory of evolution. These schools of thought incorporate both idealism and realism. The inclusive and complex nature of Indian philosophy is reflected in its unity and diversity.

Max Müller observed: "*The more I have studied these systems, the more I have become impressed with the truth that behind the variety of the six systems, there is a common fund of what may be called national and popular philosophy, from which each thinker was allowed to draw for his own purposes.*" This suggests that, despite their differences, all Indian philosophical schools share a fundamental assumption: that the universe is a well-ordered system governed by a natural law or *Dharma*, known

as *Rita*. This principle enables a coherent explanation of each system. The law of causality (cause and effect) operates alongside the belief in human free will, allowing individuals to shape their own destiny.

There is also a strong emphasis on *Rita* (universal order), *selfless love*, and *disinterested action*. While logic and reason are relied upon and used effectively for argumentation, intuition is often regarded as superior. The general discourse follows a rational approach, applying reason to areas that sometimes transcend its limits. Professor A.B. Keith pointed out: "*The systems are indeed orthodox and admit the authority of the sacred scriptures, but they approach the problems of existence as human beings do. The scriptures serve, for all practical purposes, only to lend sanctity to conclusions that are often reached independently or even in direct contradiction to their tenets.*"

Regarding Buddhist thought, its origins can be traced back to the *Upanishads*. During the time of Buddha, India experienced an era of intense intellectual activity and philosophical discourse. This period gave rise to materialistic and spiritual movements, leading to the development of *Bhagavad Gita*, Buddhism, Jainism, and various philosophical systems that shaped Indian thought. These different traditions evolved through mutual influence, sometimes overlapping.

Buddhist philosophy significantly influenced Hinduism, leading to refinements in orthodox systems. In ancient India, Buddhism flourished for an extended period as a radical reform movement within Hinduism. Although Buddhism eventually declined in India, Hinduism absorbed many of its ethical principles and philosophical ideas, ensuring its survival. *Adi Shankaracharya*, the proponent of *Advaita Vedanta*, integrated several Buddhist concepts into his philosophy, earning him the title of a "*disguised Buddhist*."

Advaita Vedanta is a monistic school of thought that posits the identity of the individual self (*Atman*) with the ultimate reality (*Brahman*), viewing the empirical world as *Maya* (illusion). Similarly, the *Mimamsa* school acknowledges the eternal nature of the self, likening it to the malleability of gold. *Jainism* and *Buddhism* also explore similar concepts—Jainism through its dualism of *Jiva* (soul) and *Ajiva* (non-soul), and Buddhism through its rejection of an eternal self while emphasizing ethical duties, karma, and the cycle of rebirth. During the medieval period in India, the *Bhakti* movement brought about a philosophical transformation based on devotion (*Bhakti*) and the promotion of social equality.

In modern India, thinkers such as *Swami Vivekananda*, *Sri Aurobindo*, and *Dr. Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan* recognized the greatness of Indian philosophy. Vivekananda argued for the rationality of a universal religion and emphasized education as a means to foster humanism. His *Neo-Vedanta* philosophy integrated spiritual and social thought. *Sri Aurobindo* synthesized elements of realism, idealism, naturalism, and pragmatism, advocating spirituality, intellectual growth, and creativity as essential for personal development. Both Vivekananda and Radhakrishnan adapted *Advaita Vedanta* to the needs of the modern era.

Indian philosophy emphasizes the deep interconnection between mind, body, and spirit. It offers a holistic perspective that balances material and spiritual needs while upholding the interdependence of all elements. This integral approach contributes to the secular character of Indian culture, which serves as a foundation for social morality. As a result, Indian legal and philosophical systems were highly advanced in ancient times, contributing to the development of one of the greatest civilizations in history.

Conclusion:

In all schools of Indian philosophy, no philosopher or school of thought exists in isolation, as they are interconnected. All schools, except a few, strive for the liberation of human beings. This interconnectedness has made it possible to solve human problems through an integral approach, which has proven to be more successful than the analytic approach of Western thinkers. Through this method, Indian culture has paved the way for a harmonious, nonviolent, and cooperative society.

On the other hand, Western ideologies have often led to violence and wars, driven by specific ideological frameworks rooted in analytical reasoning. In modern times, following Western imperialism, modernity has shaped a self-centered human being trapped in a web of relentless competition. Additionally, modern individuals have developed egotistical and inhumane tendencies. Due to the impact of modernization and British rule, India has struggled to maintain its original cultural identity.

The Indian thought process is characterized by innovation, curiosity, inquiry, rationality, logic, and creativity. Indian thinkers from various disciplines have long endeavored to discover, develop, and spread knowledge for the betterment of humanity. Creativity is the ability to discover new solutions or methods for problem-solving and achieving goals with a humanistic perspective. It involves the generation of novel and useful ideas, while innovation refers to the practical application of creative ideas.

Indian thought encompasses the philosophical speculations of thinkers from various religious traditions. It addresses multiple domains, including ethics, logic, metaphysics, and epistemology, but generally does not examine them in isolation. Instead, it follows an integral approach. In contrast, Western thought tends to analyze human problems through specialization and an analytical perspective. The synthetic approach of Indian philosophy fosters creativity, while its multidimensional knowledge system has the potential to provide holistic solutions to human problems. Conversely, the analytical approach of the Western knowledge system often offers only partial solutions. Throughout history, several ideologies based on Western thought have failed. In contrast, the Indian knowledge system, with its inclusive and integrative approach, continues to provide profound insights and solutions for human well-being.

REFERENCES:

1. Hiriyanna. M (1932). Outlines of Indian Philosophy. New Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
2. Chattopadhyaya, D.P. (1999). The History of Science, Philosophy and Culture in Indian Civilization. New Delhi: Pearson.
3. Dinkar, R.S. (1956). Sanskriti Ke Char Adhyay. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi.
4. Fanon, F. (1963). The Wretched of the Earth. New York: Grove Press.

5. Said, A. (1978). *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books.
6. Sen, A. (2005). *The Argumentative Indian*, London: Allen Lane.
7. Basham, A.L. (1954). *The wonder that was India*. United Kingdom: Sidwick & Jackson.
8. Radhakrishnan, S. (1927). *The Hindu View of Life*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
9. Thiong'o, N.W. (1986). *Decolonising the Mind*. Zimbabwe.
10. Kapoor, K. (1994). *Language, Linguistics, and Literature, the Indian Perspective*. New Delhi: Academic Foundation.
11. Chatterjee, Satishchandra and Datta, Dharendra Mohan, (1984). *An Indian Introduction to Indian Philosophy*: University of Calcutta.
12. Kumar, Shiv, *Upamana in Indian Philosophy* (1980). Eastern Book Linkers, Delhi.
13. Flood, Gavin, *An Introduction to Hinduism* (1996): Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
14. Chakrabarti, Kishor, *Classical Indian Philosophy of Mind: The Nyaya Dualist Tradition* (1999): State University of New York Press, Albany.
15. Stevenson, Leslie, *Ten theories of Human Nature* (2004): Oxford University Press.
16. Bhattacharya, N.N, *History of Tantric Religion* (1999): New Delhi.