

**A Geographical Research on poor farming practices in the Economy of Bihar****Archana Kumari**

M.Phil, Roll No: 150252

Session: 2015-16

University Department of Geography

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

Abstract

There are various monetary and non-financial variables behind migration. Transients are pushed out from their local spot due to financial condition. Antagonistically travelers are maneuvered into the objections that offer nearly high wages and work potential open doors and better expectation for everyday comforts, wellbeing and instructive offices. Bihar remains close to Uttar Pradesh in out-migration at public level. The motivation behind the current paper is to explore factors affecting out-migration from north Bihar as this district is survivor of repeating flood causing misfortunes of harvests and their family resources. Major financial elements causing migration are dissected utilizing data gathered from 180 traveler and 180 non-transients from three locale in particular; Samastipur, Darbhanga and Madhubani on the grounds that enormous scope migration has been seen from these regions. Logistic regression model was applied to survey the elements answerable for migration from the review region. The outcomes uncovered that adolescent having a place with lower pay families and having unfortunate lodging condition are seen as more inclined to relocate. Then again coefficients of schooling levels, land holding size, conjugal status was found positive and measurably huge showing taught individuals were more inclined to move as they had unfortunate admittance to work nearby being scrutinized. The coefficient of land holding size was positive and genuinely huge called attention to that an expansion in size of landholding of family expands the likelihood to relocate which goes against the overall presumption that unfortunate families are more inclined to move, doesn't turn out as expected, especially for the area under study. It is worth to make reference to here that migration from landowning families expanded during most recent couple of many years,

because of stale agricultural production, crop misfortunes because of regular flood and unfortunate framework for agricultural production and un-accessibility of non-ranch exercises pushing youth of even huge homestead families to beyond Bihar.

Keywords: Agricultural production, Flood, Logistic regression model, Migration

Introduction

Dispensing with destitution in its all structures and from wherever by 2030 is the fundamental plan framed in the Maintainable Advancement Objectives by the UNDP. While the quantity of individuals living in outrageous destitution has dropped; a large number of individuals are as yet battling for the most fundamental human requirements. India's new fast monetary development has been joined by developing imbalances (Sen and Himanshu 2004a; Chaudhari and Ravallion 2006; Vakulabharanam and Motiram 2012; Thorat and Dubey 2012) and the advantages of this development for the poor are enthusiastically discussed. Albeit, the occurrence of destitution has been diminishing constantly, yet the discussion over the comprehensiveness of development has merit. The situation of neediness isn't same for every one of the territories of India and it is an intense issue in states like Odisha, Bihar, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Uttar Pradesh. Bihar is referred to in India as well as overall for its outrageous destitution and hunger (World Bank 2005). Around 33% of state's populace is poor, and positions as the second least fortunate state in India. In the new many years, the state has seen great monetary development (Mukherji and Mukherji 2012; Saxena 2011; Singh and Harsh 2013), yet it has not helped a lot of progress the situation with state concerning neediness as well according to capita pay. There is a gigantic writing on disparity and neediness in India (EPWRF 1993; Datt 1999; Drèze 2000; Deaton and Drèze 2002; Datt and Ravallion 2002; Sen and Himanshu 2004a,b; Himanshu 2007; Bhattacharya and Sakthivel 2004; Kurian 2000). The greater part of these investigations depend for enormous scope buyer studies directed by the Public Example Review Office (NSSO) of the Public authority of India. These give appraisals of destitution to provincial and metropolitan regions and by pay gatherings.

Agricultural Scenario

Farming is at the center of Bihar's economy, utilizing 77 % of the labor force and creating 35 % of the state homegrown item. With 88 % of the state's unfortunate living in country regions, working on agricultural execution and related provincial non-ranch action is basic for further developing vocations and lessening destitution.

Table 1. Area, production and productivity and percent irrigation of major crops

Crops	Area (m ha)	Productivity (t/ha)	Production (m t)	Percent GCA irrigated
Rice	3.50	1.60	5.60	57
Wheat	2.16	2.04	4.41	92
Maize	0.64	2.68	1.71	60.3
Pulses	0.59	0.80	0.47	3.2
Coarse cereals	0.68	2.58	1.75	56.9
Oilseeds	0.14	1.00	0.14	38.2
Sugarcane	0.11	44.30	4.96	23.7
Jute and mesta	0.15	1.45	1.22	-

Directorate of Econ. & Stat. DAC 2008-09 *figures corresponding to 2007-08

** Million Bales of 180 kg each.

Significant yields filled in Bihar are rice, wheat, maize, gram, red gram, sugarcane, potato and different vegetables. Absolute food grain production in 2008-09 was 12.22 million tones, beat production 0.47 million tones and oilseeds 0.14 million tones. Region, production, efficiency and level of gross edited region watered is given in Table 1. Per capita accessibility of food grains is 322.54 g/day against all India normal of 583 g/day. Absolute backwoods region in the state is 0.62 m ha. Bihar is gave with small timberland assets and records for just 6.6% of the absolute geological area of state. The dirts of Bihar are fundamentally alluvial created from alluvium brought by the different streams of the state. North Bihar (zone I and II) has youthful alluvium with less hereditary skyline advancement, though south Bihar (zone III) has more seasoned alluvial soils with considerable profile improvement. Soils have in everyday impartial to basic pH, with less water holding limit. Nonetheless, the agricultural area in Bihar is tormented with various, and notable, requirements and issues. These include: 1. Very unstable agricultural result primarily because of shocks from normal storm flooding in certain pieces of the state and occasional dry spell in others. 2. Low efficiency - normal yields of rice and wheat the two most generally developed crops are 20 - 25 % not exactly the India normal, and not exactly 50% of what is gotten in Punjab. 3. Inadequately created provincial street framework - not exactly 50% of Bihar's towns are associated - - which cutoff points market access. 4. Floods, water logging, unfortunate waste, and lacking public ventures on growing and keeping up with surface water system frameworks. 5. Admittance to power is restricted to just 5 % of provincial families contrasted with 44 % broadly - absence of rustic jolt is an imposing obstruction to both homestead and non-ranch improvement. 6. Ranchers without admittance to surface water system (just 30 % of water system is from surface sources) need to depend primarily on diesel, instead of power, to tap groundwater sources which raises production expenses and influences seriousness. 7. Land occupancy is

unlawful yet far and wide, with field studies showing around 25 % of developed region under momentary oral tenure - this, along with a doomed coordinated provincial credit framework, and little and divided ranches (normal homestead size in Bihar of around 0.7 hectare is a portion of the public normal), goes about as a serious disincentive to private speculations ashore. 8. Public examination and expansion administrations experience the ill effects of various natural ills including absence of key concentration, slender dispersal of accessible assets, and failure to actually use accessible staff because of restricted working spending plans.

Conclusion

Thus, it very well might be said that adolescent relating to bring down pay families and having unfortunate lodging condition are seen as more inclined to move. Then again hitched taught people with land holding are more inclined to relocate as they have unfortunate admittance to profitable work in the review region. Instructed young people by and large lean toward non-agricultural business. An expansion in size of landholding of family builds the likelihood to relocate, subsequently the overall supposition that will be that unfortunate families are more inclined to move, doesn't turn out as expected, especially for the area under study. Anyway, it was seen that migration from landowning families expanded during most recent couple of many years, because of stale agricultural production, crop misfortunes by virtue of regular flood and unfortunate foundation for agricultural production and un accessibility of non-ranch exercises are additionally pushing youth of even enormous size family to beyond Bihar (Singh et al., 2002). The review uncovered that the elements which prompted individuals to relocate were honorable work in provincial region, conceivable outcomes of upgrading pay in objective spot. Every one of the transients showed that they realized their migration was impermanent, however it helped them in raising their day-to-day environment and made them ready to have honorable existences. Migration gave them a chance to break up pay related issues in the towns.

References

1. Bhaskaran, R. and B.S. Mehta. 2009. Tracing Migrants in Delhi from Bilzar-An Enquiry on the Role of Migration as a Development Facilitator in Poor Origin Areas, Institute for Human Development and South Asia Network of Economic Research Institutes (SANEI), New Delhi.
2. Bhattacharya, B. B., & Sakthivel, S. (2004). Regional growth and disparity in India – comparison of pre and postreform decades. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 29 (10), 1071–77.
3. Chaudhuri, S., & Ravallion, M. (2006). Partially awakened giants: uneven growth in China and India. Policy Research Working Paper, The World Bank.

4. Datt, G. (1999). Has poverty declined since economic reforms? statistical data analysis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 34(50), 3516–3518.
5. Datt, G., & Ravallion, M. (2002). Is India's economic growth leaving the poor behind? Mimeo, World Bank, Washington, DC.
6. Deaton, A., & Drèze, J. (2002). Poverty and inequality in India: a re-examination. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 37(36), 3729–3748
7. Deshingkar, P.; S. Kumar; H.K. Choubey and D. Kumar. 2009. Circular Migration in Bihar: The Money Order Economy. In Priya Deshingkar and John Farrington (eds.), *Circular Migration and Multi-locational Livelihoods: Strategies in Rural India*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, pp. 139-170.
8. Drèze, J. (2000). Poverty: beyond headcount ratios. *The Hindu*, September 9.
9. EPW Research Foundation (1993). Poverty levels in India: norms, estimates and trends. *Economic and Political Weekly*, August 21. 1748-68
10. GOI, (2014). Planning commission of India. available at http://planningcommission.nic.in/reports/genrep/pov_rep0707.pdf
11. Hirashima, S. (2009). Growth-poverty linkage and incomeasset relation in regional disparity: evidence from Pakistan and India. *Pakistan Development Review*, 48 (4), 357–386
12. Kumar, A. 2009. A Class Analysis of the Bihari Menace. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 44(28): 124-154.
13. Kumar, G. and P. Banerji. 2010. Poverty and Migration: Some Insights from a Study on Bihari Migrant Labour. Paper Presented at International Seminar on Poverty in Bilzar: Pattern, Dimensions, and Eradication Strategies, Patna, India, April 18-20.
14. Mkrtchyan, N.V. and Y.F. Florinskaya. 2018. Labour Migration in Russia: International and Internal aspects.
15. National Sample Survey Organization (2007-08). Migration in India, Report No. 470, NSSO 64th Round, Ministry of Programme Implementation, Government of India, New Delhi.
16. Rodgers, G. and J. Rodgers. 2001. A Leap across Time: When Semi-feudalism Met the Market in Rural Purnia. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(22): 1976-1983.
17. Sardadvar, S. and E. Valulenko. 2016. Interregional migration within Russia and its east-west divide: Evidence from spatial panel regressions. *Review of Urban and Regional Development Studies*, 28: 123-141.

18. Singh, R.K.P.; T.R. Paris and J.S. Luis. 2002. Impact of labour migration on rice-based household economy and gender roles in Bihar, India, Report of Collaborative Project of Rajendra Agricultural University and IRRI, Los Banos Laguna, Philippines.
19. Sricharoen, T. 2013. Factor Influencing on In-migration from the North-eastern of Thailand to Bangkok: An application of Logistic Regression Analysis. Journal of Statistical and Econometric Methods, 2(1): 13-31.
20. Tabachnick, B. and F. Linda. 1996. Using Multivariate Statistics, Third Edition. Harper Collins
20. Zhurnal Novaya Ekon. Assotsiatsiya-J New Economic Association, 1: 186-193.