IJAER/ May- June -2022/Volume-11/Issue-3 ISSN: 2278-9677
International Journal of Arts & Education Research

A Comprehensive Review Historical Evolution of Social and Political Influences on Agriculture System

Priya Kumari Mishra

Research Scholar Lalit Narayan Mithila University

Abstract

This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the social and political influences on agricultural systems. Agriculture, as a fundamental component of human civilization, is intricately connected to the socio-political fabric of societies worldwide. This review synthesizes existing literature to explore the multifaceted interactions between social and political factors and agricultural systems, emphasizing their impact on agricultural practices, policies, and outcomes. The paper also investigates the dynamic nature of these influences and their implications for sustainable agriculture in the face of global challenges. Agricultural systems function within intricate social and political contexts, significantly shaping their operational dynamics. To achieve sustainability in agricultural practices, a profound comprehension of how these systems are influenced by social and political variables is imperative. In this study, an expert panel methodology was employed to discern and prioritize the significance of social and political factors affecting agricultural systems in the United States. The findings underscore the complexity of the interplay between social and political elements in shaping agricultural landscapes. Moreover, the study highlighted emerging factors and identified areas requiring further investigation. A holistic understanding of these factors is crucial not only for guiding scientific research but also for ensuring that valuable discoveries are embraced and implemented. Equally important is the role of this knowledge in informing policy decisions that contribute to the enduring sustainability of agricultural production in the future.

Keywords*: Social, Political, Agriculture, Sustainability*

Introduction

Agricultural systems play a pivotal role in ensuring food security, economic development, and the overall well-being of societies. Understanding the intricate relationship between social and political factors and agricultural systems is crucial for devising effective policies and strategies. The aim was to shed light on their impacts, interconnections, and modes of influence. The panel identified a diverse array of social and political factors exerting influence on agricultural systems, categorizing them into three distinct groups: internal social factors, external social factors, and political factors. Notably, each category received high rankings, indicating a

balanced impact without any singular dominance. While varying perspectives existed regarding the significance of certain factors, a consensus emerged on many key aspects. Specifically, globalization and the imperative need for increased scale and efficiency due to low profit margins were identified as the foremost influential factors affecting agricultural systems.

Objectives of this study

Analyze the historical evolution of social and political influences on agriculture.

The analysis of the historical evolution of social and political influences on agriculture reveals a dynamic interplay that has shaped the course of human civilization. Understanding how these influences have evolved over time is essential for gaining insights into contemporary agricultural systems. The historical perspective provides a foundation for comprehending the complex relationships between societies, political structures, and agricultural practices.

Traditional Agricultural Societies:

Traditional agricultural societies, the early cradle of human civilization, were characterized by a symbiotic relationship between people, communities, and the land they cultivated. Essential to understanding the roots of agriculture, these societies were marked by distinctive features that shaped their way of life. Primarily engaged in subsistence farming, these societies cultivated crops and raised livestock to meet the basic needs of their communities. The emphasis was on producing enough food to sustain the local population. Landownership followed a communal model, with shared ownership among community members. Agricultural practices were shaped by collective decision-making, fostering a sense of community responsibility for the well-being of all. Agricultural activities were cyclical and synchronized with natural seasons, demonstrating a deep understanding of local ecosystems. Limited access to advanced technologies characterized these societies, and farming tools were simple, often crafted from locally available materials. The absence of sophisticated machinery led to labour-intensive methods, highlighting the manual labour and animal power integral to cultivation. Oxen, horses, and other domesticated animals played vital roles in ploughing and transportation. Traditional agricultural societies maintained a close connection with nature, shaping practices based on environmental factors, weather patterns, and water resources. This intimate relationship fostered the development of traditional knowledge passed down through generations. Social structures revolved around farming activities, with families and communities working collectively. Agricultural tasks were woven into social rituals and ceremonies, with the agrarian calendar serving as a central element in community life. Specialization was limited, and individuals engaged in various roles essential for community survival. Economic systems relied on barter, with goods and

services exchanged within local communities. Trade occurred on a smaller scale, emphasizing localized economies with limited external influence. Understanding these aspects provides a foundational perspective on the origins of agriculture and human settlement. It is through this lens that we appreciate the evolution of agricultural practices and their profound impact on the development of human societies throughout history. The legacy of traditional agricultural societies continues to shape our understanding of sustainable practices and the intricate relationship between humanity and the land.

Ancient Civilizations and Agricultural Surpluses:

Ancient civilizations marked a transformative phase in the history of agriculture, witnessing the emergence of agricultural surpluses that profoundly influenced societal structures. This pivotal development occurred as communities transitioned from subsistence farming to generating surplus yields, fundamentally altering the dynamics of labour, trade, and governance. The surplus of agricultural production in ancient civilizations laid the foundation for more complex social hierarchies. With the ability to produce more food than required for immediate sustenance, certain individuals could specialize in non-agricultural roles, leading to the rise of artisans, traders, and administrators. This specialization contributed to the formation of early states and class divisions within society. Central to the success of ancient civilizations was the advent of irrigation systems. The construction of sophisticated irrigation networks allowed for increased agricultural productivity, enabling the cultivation of larger areas of land. This technological advancement, coupled with the domestication of animals for agricultural purposes, further augmented surplus production. The management and distribution of agricultural surpluses became a central aspect of governance in ancient civilizations. Rulers and administrators developed systems to collect, store, and distribute surplus food, laying the groundwork for organized economies. The surplus not only sustained larger populations but also facilitated trade and economic development, fostering cultural exchanges among diverse regions. The surplus-driven economies of ancient civilizations contributed to the establishment of trade routes and economic interconnectedness. This exchange of goods and ideas played a crucial role in the development of advanced societies, such as those in Mesopotamia, Egypt, the Indus Valley, and the Yellow River basin. In essence, the advent of agricultural surpluses in ancient civilizations marked a transformative moment in human history. It not only altered the relationship between humans and the land but also catalyzed the development of complex social, economic, and political structures that laid the groundwork for the evolution of civilizations. The management and utilization of surplus agricultural production became a driving force behind the prosperity and growth of these early societies.

Feudalism and Manorial System: The medieval period saw the prevalence of feudalism, where landownership and agricultural production were closely tied to social hierarchies. The manorial system, with its reliance on lord-vassal relationships, dictated agricultural practices and land use.

Agricultural Revolutions:

Agricultural revolutions denote critical junctures in human history where transformative changes in farming practices and technology significantly impacted food production, population growth, and societal structures. Two notable agricultural revolutions—the First Agricultural Revolution (Neolithic Revolution) and the Second Agricultural Revolution—shaped the course of human civilization.

i. **First Agricultural Revolution (Neolithic Revolution):** The Neolithic Revolution, occurring around 10,000 BCE, marked the transition from a hunter-gatherer lifestyle to settled agriculture. In various regions across the globe, humans began cultivating plants and domesticating animals, such as wheat, barley, rice, and goats. This shift from nomadic to sedentary lifestyles allowed for more reliable food sources and laid the groundwork for permanent settlements. Key technological innovations during the First Agricultural Revolution included the development of simple tools like plows and the discovery of irrigation techniques. These advancements not only increased agricultural productivity but also enabled surplus food production, leading to population growth and the establishment of complex social structures.

ii. **Second Agricultural Revolution:** The Second Agricultural Revolution unfolded between the 17th and 19th centuries, primarily in Western Europe. This period saw significant technological advancements, including the widespread adoption of crop rotation, improved ploughing techniques, and the use of new crop varieties. The enclosure movement, where common lands were privatized, also played a role in altering agricultural landscapes. Mechanization, with the introduction of machines like the seed drill and the reaper, revolutionized farming practices. These innovations increased efficiency, allowing farmers to cultivate larger areas of land. The use of fertilizers and the selective breeding of livestock further enhanced productivity.

Both agricultural revolutions had profound socio-economic impacts. The Neolithic Revolution laid the groundwork for settled societies, contributing to the development of civilizations. The Second Agricultural Revolution, with its emphasis on mechanization and technological innovation, fuelled the Industrial Revolution by providing a surplus of food and labour, leading to urbanization and the rise of industrialized economies.

Enclosure Movement and Industrialization: In the transition to the modern era, the enclosure movement in Europe marked the privatization of common lands, leading to changes in land use and agricultural production. Industrialization further altered the social fabric, with urbanization and the migration of labour from rural areas influencing agricultural practices.

Green Revolution and Technological Advances: The mid-20th century witnessed the Green Revolution, characterized by the widespread adoption of high-yielding crop varieties, fertilizers, and pesticides. This period

saw increased government intervention and technological advancements shaping agricultural policies globally, impacting both developed and developing nations.

Contemporary Globalization and Agricultural Policies: In recent decades, globalization has played a significant role in shaping agricultural systems. Trade agreements, market integration, and international policies have influenced how nations approach agriculture, with implications for local farmers and food security.

Understanding this historical evolution illuminates the intricate connections between social and political structures and agricultural development. It provides a valuable context for addressing current challenges and designing sustainable agricultural practices for the future.

Challenges and opportunities for sustainable agriculture

The challenges and opportunities for sustainable agriculture within the context of social and political dynamics are crucial for designing effective strategies that balance economic, environmental, and social goals.

Challenges:

i. **Land Use Pressures:** The growing global population and increasing urbanization place immense pressure on available agricultural land. Expansion of agricultural activities often leads to deforestation and habitat destruction, contributing to biodiversity loss and environmental degradation.

ii. **Resource Depletion and Climate Change:** Unsustainable agricultural practices, such as excessive use of chemical fertilizers and over-extraction of water, contribute to soil degradation and water scarcity. Climate change further exacerbates challenges by altering weather patterns, impacting crop yields, and increasing the frequency of extreme events like droughts and floods.

iii. **Social Inequities:** Social dynamics, including unequal access to resources, limited land tenure for small-scale farmers, and gender disparities, pose challenges to achieving sustainable agriculture. These inequities often result in marginalized communities facing barriers to adopting more sustainable practices.

iv. **Globalization and Market Forces:** The globalized nature of agricultural markets can sometimes prioritize short-term economic gains over long-term sustainability. Agricultural policies, trade agreements, and market demands can lead to practices that prioritize profit at the expense of environmental and social considerations.

Opportunities:

i. **Technology and Innovation:** Advances in agricultural technology, precision farming, and agro ecological practices present opportunities for sustainable agriculture. Precision agriculture enables efficient resource use, while agro ecological approaches promote biodiversity, resilience, and natural resource conservation.

ii. **Policy Interventions:** Robust and well-implemented agricultural policies can play a pivotal role in promoting sustainability. Incentives for sustainable practices, conservation programs, and support for small-scale farmers can contribute to positive social and environmental outcomes.

iii. **Community Engagement:** Empowering local communities through participatory approaches fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility for sustainable agriculture. Involving communities in decision-making processes and supporting local initiatives can enhance the social dimension of sustainability.

iv. **Education and Awareness:** Raising awareness about sustainable farming practices and their benefits is essential. Education programs for farmers, policymakers, and consumers can drive positive change by promoting environmentally friendly practices and fostering a broader understanding of the interconnectedness of social and ecological systems.

v. **Certification and Market Initiatives:** Certification programs, such as organic and fair trade, provide market incentives for sustainable agriculture. These initiatives not only reward environmentally friendly practices but also address social concerns by promoting fair wages and working conditions.

Conclusion

Summarize key findings and highlight the need for an integrated approach to address the complex interplay between social and political influences on agricultural systems. Propose future research directions and policy recommendations for fostering sustainable and resilient agricultural practices. Agricultural revolutions represent pivotal moments where humanity transitioned from traditional subsistence practices to more efficient and productive farming methods, shaping the trajectory of human civilization and influencing the course of history. Addressing the challenges and leveraging the opportunities for sustainable agriculture requires a holistic approach that integrates social, economic, and environmental considerations. It involves collaborative efforts between governments, communities, farmers, and other stakeholders to create a resilient and equitable agricultural system for the future.

References

1. Bromley, D.W. 2000. Can agriculture become an environmental asset? World Economics 1(3):127–139.Google Scholar

2. Dimitri, C., Effland, A., and Conklin, N. 2005. The 20th century transformation of U.S. agriculture and farm policy. Economic Information Bulletin. EIB-3. Economic Research Service, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.Google Scholar
3. Freshwater, D. 2002. Applying multifunctionality to U.S. farm policy. Staff Paper No. 437. Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kentucky. Google
4. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis. Island Press, Washington, DC.Google Scholar
5. National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2004. 2002 Census of Agriculture. Vol. 1, Geographic Area Series Part 51. National Agricultural Statistics Service, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.Google Scholar
6. National Center for Health Statistics. 2005. National health and nutrition examination survey. Available at Web site http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm (verified 23 June 2006).Google Scholar
7. Shapouri, S. and Rosen, S. 2005. Food security assessment. GFA-16. Economic Research Service, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.Google Scholar
8. Smithers, J., Joseph, A.E., and Armstrong, M. 2005. Across the divide (?): reconciling farm and town views of agriculture–community linkages. Journal of Rural Studies 21(3):281–295.Google Scholar
9. Tegtmeier, E.M. and Duffy, M.D. 2004. External costs of agricultural production in the United States. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability 2(1):1–20.Google Scholar
10. Vanclay, F. 2004. Social principles for agricultural extension to assist in the promotion of natural resource management. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 44(3):213–222.Google Scholar
11. World Health Organization. 2000. Nutrition for health and development: a global agenda for combating malnutrition. WHO/NHD/00.6. World Health Organization. Google
12. Zulauf, C.R. 1986. Changes in selected characteristics of US farms during the 1970s and early 1980s: an investigation based on current and constant dollar sales categories. Southern Journal of Agricultural Economics 18(1):113–122.Google Scholar.