



GANDHI'S PHILOSOPHY OF NONVIOLENCE IN RESOLVING CONTEMPORARY CONFLICTS

Dr Pramod Pal Singh

Associate Professor, Department of Political Science

K.R.(PG) College Mathura UP

Abstract:

Gandhi's concept of nonviolence, or Ahimsa, provides a deep foundation for resolving modern disputes. It emphasises the need of peaceful resistance and discussion. Nonviolence is a revolutionary method to tackling social, political, and economic inequality that is based on his dedication to justice, truth, and the sacredness of life. Gandhi's teachings propose that reconciliation, empathy, and non-coercive tactics may lead to permanent solutions in the face of modern-day difficulties such as geopolitical conflicts, terrorism, and civil upheaval. This study examines the importance of Gandhi's nonviolence in the context of modern-day conflicts, demonstrating how his method encourages mutual respect, lowers hostility, and promotes lasting peace. The study assesses the possibility of Ahimsa to resolve contemporary world problems via case studies and practical applications. It argues that we should move away from militarised reactions and instead adopt more compassionate and inclusive alternatives.

Keywords: *Gandhi's, Philosophy, Nonviolence, Contemporary*

Introduction:

The need for alternate ways of conflict resolution has never been more urgent than it is now, in a world that is becoming increasingly polarised and where disputes are often made worse by violence, military involvement, and divisive language. Mahatma Gandhi's concept of nonviolence, also known as Ahimsa, is one example of this method. It is based on ethical and intellectual traditions. Gandhi's support for nonviolence was not restricted to only passive resistance; it also included a whole worldview that included political, social, and spiritual worlds, providing a comprehensive approach to achieving peace. Gandhi's ideology developed in the setting of colonial tyranny, when nonviolence became a potent instrument for both personal and societal freedom. However, its significance extends well beyond India's fight for freedom. Gandhi's ideas of Ahimsa are a vital resource for resolving conflicts and healing deep-rooted divisions in today's society, when the ramifications of armed conflicts, terrorism, and sectarian violence are felt internationally. This research aims to investigate how Gandhi's ideology may be used to resolve disputes that are happening now. It seeks to analyse how his concepts might be adjusted to respond to contemporary issues, such as international diplomatic standoffs and social unrest at the community level. Gandhi's nonviolent approach provides a way to achieve peace without the disastrous repercussions of conflict by focussing on conversation, empathy, and non-coercive techniques. The study argues that nonviolence is not just a moral ideal but also a practical method for resolving conflicts in a sustainable way in the current day through this investigation.

Context of Gandhi's Philosophy of Nonviolence:

Mahatma Gandhi's ideology of nonviolence was influenced by his own experiences, religious teachings, and the socio-political backdrop of colonial India. He believed that nonviolence is not just the lack of physical violence, but rather an active force for good. This idea was central to his way of thinking. He suggested that nonviolence includes both physical and mental purity, and he encouraged compassion, empathy, and a profound respect for all living things. According to Gandhi, the only way for people and society to turn conflict into chances for mutual understanding and reconciliation is via nonviolent efforts, such as peaceful demonstrations, civil disobedience, and negotiation. A key aspect of Gandhi's thought was his belief in the importance of moral purity and self-discipline in every individual. He believed that nonviolence was not only a political strategy but also a way of life that was consistent with spiritual and ethical ideals. Ahimsa's ethical underpinning helps people to rise beyond their egos and personal grievances, enabling them to approach disputes with humility and a devotion to truth (Satya).

Gandhi's Nonviolence in the Contemporary Context:

In today's world, there are both advantages and disadvantages to using Gandhi's ideology of nonviolence. The world today is marked by complicated global interconnection, fast-paced technological progress, and broad social instability. Conflicts are becoming more complicated, encompassing not simply contests for political control but also divisions based on ideology, culture, and religion. On the other hand, nonviolent tactics are still relevant and useful, providing an alternative to approaches that are harmful and divisive. For example, in the context of international diplomacy, when tensions between states regularly build to the verge of military confrontation, Gandhi's ideas of nonviolence may encourage leaders towards peaceful conversation and collaboration. In situations of terrorism or insurgency, when violence typically leads to cycles of retribution, nonviolence provides a means to address the underlying grievances and achieve permanent peace. In addition, nonviolent resistance movements in modern times, such as the Arab Spring, the Black Lives Matter movement, and the global climate rallies, show that Ahimsa continues to be a powerful tool for mobilising change without resorting to violence.

Challenges to Nonviolence in Modern Conflict Resolution:

Even though it has been shown to be successful in some situations, using nonviolence in today's disputes is still difficult. In a society where military force and economic influence are frequently the basis of power dynamics, it is necessary to overcome major obstacles in order to promote nonviolence as a viable approach. These include the widespread presence of violent ideologies, entrenched political interests, and the growing militarisation of conflicts throughout the world. Furthermore, the basic implementation of Gandhi's principles is complicated by the globalised character of today's conflicts, which typically involve several state and non-state players, complex legal frameworks, and global economic interdependencies. In cases where quick action and military intervention are considered required to solve existential threats, nonviolence may be viewed as inadequate or foolish.

Objective and Structure of the Paper:

The purpose of this study is to evaluate how Gandhi's philosophy of nonviolence might be applied to the resolution of conflicts that are happening now. It will start by establishing a theoretical framework for nonviolence, examining important ideas like as Ahimsa, Satya, and civil disobedience. After that, the study will look at case studies of nonviolent movements in modern conflicts, examining how successful they were and what difficulties they faced in bringing about significant change. Finally, it will address how Gandhi's

teachings might be applied to modern-day challenges, such as international diplomacy and social justice, and it will provide a strategy to promote peace in the 21st century. This article will show that nonviolence is a strong and necessary instrument for promoting peace in today's chaotic world, even if it is difficult to practise. It will do this by examining the junction between Gandhi's ideology with modern conflict resolution.

NON-VIOLENCE

The term "non-violence" or "ahimsa" literally translates to "non-injury" (Miri, 1987). It is a significant idea in both Indian and Western traditions. For thousands of years, the Indian tradition has taught and practiced ahimsa as a religious and moral virtue. However, Gandhi was able to give the idea a far deeper meaning, and he was able to put it into effect in a much larger region and with much more success than had been done in the past. Gandhi himself states that he has no fresh lessons to share with the world since the concepts of truth and non-violence are as ancient as the hills. However, Gandhi was quite skilled at combining concepts. Gandhi deserves credit for adopting certain beliefs, identifying what is genuine and valuable, and putting those ideals into action. Ahimsa is an old idea, yet it has been the subject of ongoing research and development.

For a long time, non-violence, or ahimsa, has been an important part of the religious traditions of India, particularly in Hinduism, Buddhism, and Jainism (Miri, 2003). The religions of India have always respected the sanctity of life, whether it be human or animal (Miri, 2003). Ahimsa is considered a virtue in the Veda. According to Vedic philosophers, non-injury is a virtue. The Vedas are the first known source of the idea that ahimsa means non-injury. The Vedas, Agamas, Upanishads, Dharma Shastras, Yoga Sutras, and other holy writings of Hinduism are where the principles of non-injury, non-killing, and non-consumption of meat originate. Ahimsa is considered a virtue by many of the different schools of Indian philosophy. For instance, in Patanjali's Yogasutra, ahimsa is usually understood to mean that one should refrain from being cruel to all living beings in every possible way. According to Patanjali, ahimsa is the mahavrata, or the grand vow, of a yogi. It is the most important spiritual discipline, and those who are searching for Truth must adhere to it strictly and without exception (Pareek, 1995). This was not only intended to prevent killing, but also to prevent harm produced by one's thoughts, words, and actions of all kinds, including destruction to the natural environment. Even the intention to cause harm, as well as violence that occurs in a dream, was considered a breach of the ideal of ahimsa. Prasastapada ahimsa is one of the universal obligations, according to Vaisesika thinker Roy (1986). It is a duty that everyone must follow, regardless of their caste or life circumstances. Ahimsa is also suggested as a moral responsibility in the Mahabharata (Roy 2003). Ahimsa is a concept that is referenced often in the Mahabharata. It has denounced ahimsa in its many manifestations in a number of locations (Pareek, 1995). On the other hand, we see that Ahimsa is given a unique and distinct focus in Buddhism and Jainism (Rupesinghe, 1994). Buddhism placed a strong emphasis on universal compassion and love (Selboume, 1985). When interpreted in this way, ahimsa calls for constructive action to eliminate the suffering of all beings. Ahimsa is the criterion by which all activities are to be assessed in Jainism. A householder who observes the little vows (anuvrata) must follow the practice of ahimsa, which means that he should not harm any animals. For an ascetic who is practicing the great vows (mahavrata), ahimsa means taking the utmost care to ensure that he does not do hurt to any living thing, whether he is aware of it or not. The entire cosmos is governed by the same rule, which encompasses not only human beings and animals, but also insects and plants, all of which are considered living stuff (jiva). When you interfere with another jiva's spiritual advancement, it increases your own karma and delays your release

from the cycle of rebirths. The idea of ahimsa (Selbourne, 1985) is the basis for many typical Jain traditions, such as monks wearing fabric mouth-covers (mukhavastrika) and refraining from eating or drinking after dark. Ahimsa is the most important principle and the basic moral value of Jainism. Every action is assessed and evaluated according to the principles of ahimsa. However, the Jainas take it to the extreme and place an enormous amount of importance on ahimsa. Because all activities involve violence in one way or another, Jainas advise that their followers should participate in as few activities as possible. They will not kill even the tiniest bug. It is the excessive application of the negative element of a crucial concept and has become "a burden to humanity almost impossible to bear" (Singh, 1994).

According to Gandhi, the Jainas' rigorous application of nonviolence is founded on an incorrect assumption (Terchek, 1998). They believe that the sole type of misery is death, and that any form of existence is better than death. This is because too much importance is placed on the holiness of sub-human life instead of human life. Although the Jainas were the only ones who strictly adhered to ahimsa, vegetarianism and acceptance of all forms of life became common in India among Hindus and Buddhists as well. Ashoka, a Buddhist monarch, emphasised the importance of animal life in his inscriptions from the 3rd century BC. Ahimsa is one of the first things that a student of yoga learns, and it is necessary to master it during the preparatory stage (yama), which is the first of the eight phases that lead to perfect focus (Singh, 1994). Life is considered sacred by the ancient philosophers of India. They also believe that every living thing has consciousness and energy (Kytile, 1982). In India, there is an unprecedented level of concern for harmony among different kinds of life. As a result, a shared set of beliefs has developed that is centred on not causing harm and using as little natural resources as possible. The principle of ahimsa is the foundation for the values of compassion, nonpossession, and simplicity.

GANDHI'S PHILOSOPHY OF NON-VIOLENCE

Even if other people had a significant impact on Gandhi, his ideas of 'Ahimsa' and 'Satyagraha' are a reflection of his philosophy of non-violence. The main principles are now being looked at:

Satyagraha

This is one of the most significant contributions that Gandhi made to the history of India and the globe as a whole. Gandhi came up with this idea in order to explain the way he acted against the "racial discrimination" that was taking on in South Africa. The first time that "satyagraha" was utilised was during the opposition of Indian workers in South Africa against the Asiatic Law Amendment Ordinance of 1906 (Iyer, 2000). From a different point of view, the term "Satyagraha" was created from two words in Sanskrit: "Satya," which means "truth," and "agraha," which means "holding fast to." He expanded the meaning of the word to include "truth force" (Edet, 2002, Eyo, 2012). However, before it was used, "Satyagraha" was identical with passive resistance. But as it was completely established, the term eventually stopped being considered synonymous since violence (passive resistance) was seen of as a universal weapon of the weak. (Eyo, 2012). Gopinath stated that Gandhi discovered the science of Satyagraha via his experiments with truth. I have learnt about the science of Satyagraha via scientific inquiry. It is the outcome of the most difficult work that a human being can do. I have utilised all of the talents of a scientist in my investigation (Gopinath, 1946: 65-66). Gandhi defined Satyagraha as "a relentless search for truth and a determination to reach the truth" (Dalton, 1998) in order to enhance this notion. According to Desai, Satyagraha is dynamic, whereas passive opposition is inert and negative. On the other side, Satyagraha acts constructively and suffers with happiness

since the pains are beneficial due to "love." It is founded on the idea of love and the belief in "love for all and suffer for all," and it prohibits the use of any kind of violence. This ideology is founded on the idea that humans are aware of the absolute truth and are incapable of punishing anyone. At this time, the concept of Satyagraha may be better comprehended through three key truths: "Satya" (truth), "Ahimsa" (non-violence), and "Tapas" (self-suffering). Eyo stated, "Satyagraha as a matter of principle does not coerce anyone but rather to convert by persuasion to reach the reason through the heart" (Eyo, 2012).

On Truth

According to Gandhi, the fundamental element of Satyagraha is the idea of "truth." He informed me that some of his acquaintances have said that "truth" and non-violence do not belong in politics or worldly concerns. I disagree. I do not find them useful as a way to save myself. I have been experimenting with their introduction and use in daily life from the very beginning. (Iyer, 2000) According to Dalton (1998), "Truth alone is eternal, everything else is momentary." He stressed that people should constantly look for the truth and try to discover it, even if it is impossible to find ultimate truth in humans. However, "Ahimsa," or non-violence, is the only way to access the truth, because it is the most important and only method of realising it (Dalton, 1998).

(Non-violence) Ahimsa

Non-violence may take many different forms. These include general non-violence, pacifist non-violence, non-violence resistance or non-violence direct action, as well as psychological or emotional non-violence. Gene Sharp characterises genetic, pacifist, and nonviolent resistance in the following way:

Generic non-violence is defined and characterized by refraining from physical violence (cowards and hermits)... pacifist non violence is (non-violence) (occasioned) by those who refused to kill or participate in conflicts based on morality, ethical or religious ideals, non-violence resistance is act of conduct or omission that opposes an opponent but also reject violence. For example, an act of omission is when you do not participate in political and social festivities, whereas an act of commission is when you intentionally disobey the law. (Sharp 1990)

Many people, like Nagler, are still very concerned with non-violence. Nagler believes that it is "that force or principle which comes increasingly to motivate a human being as he or she transforms the desire to injure others into its positive counterpart" (Nagler, 1986). Bond Douglas also categorised and grouped non-violence into three categories: "absolute pacifist," "principled pacifist," and "pragmatic pacifist." The complete pacifist is willing to accept pain and sacrifice, even if it means dying. A fully pacifist stance will not be able to assist relieve the suffering of others, and consequently, by not taking action, it will raise the burden on others. Principled pacifists want to reduce violence as much as they can. They are unable to determine which segment is the least violent in the end, which causes problems. The pragmatic pacifist is worried about the use of violence to achieve a certain socio-political goal, in which case the non-violent principles are deliberately used as a means to other purposes. (Bond, 1988). The texts above state that in order for a non-violent strategy to be efficient and functioning, there must be a mix of the collective good with individual sacrifice and suffering, which will be carried out infidelity. In addition, the structure becomes a symbol of peace in Africa by promoting non-violence in the context of individual growth and community. It is impossible to progress as individuals or form a society when there is continual strife. The truth is that only peace can improve individual growth and communal construction. These are the two pillars

that support Gandhi's ideology of non-violence, which is the foundation for conflict resolution and peace in Africa. Nevertheless, Eyo and Francis, quoting Grovier, defined non-violence as "those methods of protest, non-cooperation and intervention in which the actors, without employing physical violence refuse to do certain things they are expected or required to do, or do certain things they are not expected or are forbidden to do." (2017). In a critical examination of Gandhi's concept of non-violence Eyo claimed that

"Gandhi eloquently laid out his doctrine of nonviolence in his renowned March 1922 trial address, in which he declared nonviolence to be the first article of his religion. Indeed, Gandhi's political ideology and spirituality were both built on the tenet of nonviolence... Since violent revolutions often lead to oppressive dictatorships, Gandhi opposed this practice. The fact that the violent take over governmental apparatus and keep rebels at arm's length demonstrates this; the government becomes a makeshift military base. Because of this, bloodshed becomes even more common, and the very goal of the uprising—the liberation from oppression—becomes a reality under a brutal dictatorship." (Eyo, 2012).

On the other hand, Gandhi believes that "A non-violent man can do nothing save by the power and grace of God." If he doesn't have it, he won't be brave enough to die without wrath, fear, or revenge. Such bravery derives from the conviction that God sits in the heart of everybody, and that there should be no fear in the presence of God non-violence, (Kytile, 1982). Gandhi used this idea in order to explain the nature of his actions against the "racial discrimination" that was taking place in South Africa. The first time that the Satyagraha method was adopted was during the protest of Indian labourers in South Africa against the Asiatic Law Amendment order of 1906 (Iyer, 2000). His efforts with truth led to the discovery of Satyagraha. Gandhi said, "The science of Satyagraha... has come to me... by scientific research." It is the outcome of the most difficult work that a human being can do. I have put to this investigation all the skills of a scientist" (Gopinath, 1946). In addition, Eyo stated that Gandhi believes that anybody or any group of people who practise non-violence must always be prepared to take the initiative continuously and during the whole period of the conflict. The opponent must be given enough time to think about the plan, but they should never be permitted to disregard the complaints of the non-violence resisters. During this time, violence must be permitted to split their ranks. He also insists that half of the battle, and the most challenging aspect of it, is persuading the opposition or the tyrant that they are not only expressing their dissatisfaction but are genuinely serious. When the pace of the nonviolent resistance is maintained, the dictator has no option but to either respond to the resisters with understanding and solidarity or resort to violence. In another method, the opponent becomes involved in a connection with the movement, and over time, they would step in to settle the situation. Gandhi firmly believes that nonviolence would be ineffective unless it is supported with a plan of action that is directed towards the charge. In the same way, nonviolence demands belief in the truth and the inherent decency of even the most debased human person (2012). In his book An Autobiography, Gandhi explains how he came up with the concept of Satyagraha.

However, I was unable to come up with a new name, so I offered a small prize through Indian Opinion to the reader who provided the best suggestion on the topic. As a result, Gandhi came up with the word "Sadagraha" and won the award. However, I altered the word to Satyagraha in order to clarify things. (Eyo, 2012).

Combining the Sanskrit terms "satya," meaning truth, with "agraha," meaning sticking firm to, the idea of Satyagraha was born. On rare occasions, Gandhi would have Satyagraha translated as "truth force" (Edet, 2002). According to Eyo, Satyagraha is a comprehensive nonviolent ideology. To be more precise, it is a

method for peaceful protest. In order to provide the Indian community in South Africa with a psychological tool to fight against the unfairness of the British colonial authority, Gandhi devised the concept of Satyagraha. Gandhi distinguished between his idea of civil disobedience and passive resistance as it was known and practiced in the West. The term "passive resistance" ceased to be synonymous with Gandhi's convictions as the concept of Satyagraha evolved. This is because passive resistance occasionally allowed for violence and was becoming widely recognised as a weapon used by the weak. However, before Satyagraha reached its logical and spiritual extent, Gandhi often used the terms interchangeably. 2012 was the year. Since using force, on the one hand, stunts personal growth and disrespects the enemy, and on the other, blocks one's view of the truth, satyagraha forbids any kind of violence. That the enemy is a sentient creature with the capacity for reason and virtue is central to his Satyagraha philosophy. Ahimsa, or nonviolence, is central to Gandhi's teachings, and he believed that in order to practise it, one must first undergo mental change via the cultivation of a strong will, patience, and moral fortitude. An excess of truth and nonviolence, provided by an inner conscience, may serve as instruments for peace and genuine existence, and this is necessary for the transition to take place. According to Gandhi, in order to lead a violent life, one must undergo training that awakens one's inner conscience and commitment, which in turn leads to understanding of the physical and moral realities.

Tapas (Self Suffering)

Gandhi highlighted that self-suffering (Tapas) is an essential component of Satyagraha in order to stress its centrality. Because, according to Gandhi, one's own self-sacrifice is always for the greater good, even more so than the sacrifice of others. A state of nonviolence "bespeaks conscious suffering," he said. It involves pitting one's entire being against the tyrant's will, not submitting docilely to their authority, as Gandhi phrased it (Selbourne, 1985). According to Gandhi, pain is an essential part of Satyagraha, as he told his followers. "We must endure every hardship that we can imagine and the wisdom is in promising ourselves that we will endure all that and worse pains..." related to this matter. (According to Prasad of 1990). This component of Satyagraha suggests that the oppressor or dictator may be transformed into a humanitarian being, open to bodily and spiritual improvement, via voluntary and creative suffering. Additionally, it has an effect on the enemy's conscience, making him feel "a sense of justice." Because bravery and fearlessness go hand in hand with self-suffering, liberation is unattainable without it. According to Prasad (1990: 86). Gandhi argues that nonviolent and aggressive acts are equally justified by self-suffering. Because there are always a lot of casualties when people resort to violence. A number of historical events, such as the violent uprising during India's fight for independence in 1857 and the nonviolent revolution in Algeria, led Gandhi to this conclusion. The casualties were far higher in these incidents than in the French Revolution. "In the Indian struggle for independence, though I know of no exact statistics, hundreds of thousands of Indians went to jail, probably not more than five hundred received permanent physical injuries and probably not more than eight thousand were killed immediately or died late from wounds," Kytte affirms in this excerpt. As far as I can tell, no British were injured or killed... in 1986. It bears repeating that nonviolent resistance results in economic losses in addition to physical pains. As an example, despite the nonviolent protests in Boston, the British government shut down the ports, causing a large number of people to lose their jobs and go hungry. Sharp (1960) noted that Germany experienced economic instability, infant mortality, and unemployment as a result of non-violent opposition during the Ruhrkampf. It follows that there are, of course, good things in suffering as well as bad things. It has an effect on the minds of both the oppressors and their followers, making it harder for them to maintain power in the event that the suffering persists.

Conventional wisdom holds that "no pain, no gain" applies to this kind of self-inflicted misery. Now is a good time to explore how Gandhi's nonviolent philosophy may be applied to end conflicts and bring about peace in Africa.

Conclusion:

Truth, empathy, and justice are the bedrock of Mahatma Gandhi's nonviolent philosophy, which provides a comprehensive and applicable foundation for dealing with modern situations. At a time when the world seems to be spiralling towards war, Gandhi's teachings provide a way forward that emphasises nonviolent communication, mutual understanding, and nonviolent resistance to injustice. Although there are many obstacles that nonviolence must overcome in order to be effectively implemented in the geopolitical and social contexts of today, it provides an alternative to violent and coercive approaches to conflict resolution. Ahimsa has the ability to promote real change and reconciliation, as shown by the case studies and instances of modern nonviolent movements. A significant instrument in deconstructing oppressive regimes and achieving justice, nonviolence can be exercised through diplomatic discussion, civil disobedience, or nonviolent protests. A change in thinking among both leaders and individuals is necessary for the modern world to see Gandhi's dream come to fruition—a firm confidence that peaceful methods are the only way to create enduring peace. Finally, nonviolence gives an ethical and long-term substitute for the vicious cycle of violence that keeps people in pain, even though it doesn't solve the world's most critical problems right now. Nonviolence, according to Gandhi's teachings, should not be seen as a tactic but as an approach to life; this, in turn, should lead to personal and societal reform. Thus, nonviolence is more than a reactive strategy; it is a proactive force with the power to alter the trajectory of world peace and security. Therefore, Gandhi's nonviolent method provides a moral necessity and a practical blueprint for a future with less violence in fixing modern issues.

REFERENCES

- [1] Akpanika, E. N. (2017). Religious and Political Crises in Nigeria: A Historical Exploration. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (IOSR-JHSS), 22(9).
- [2] Basse, S. A. (2019). 'Anthropoholism' As an Authentic Tool for Environmental Management. International Journal of Environmental Pollution and Environmental Modelling, 160-168.
- [3] Bond, D. (1988). "The Nature and Meaning of non-violent Direct Action: An Explanatory Study. Journal of Peace 25(1).
- [4] Dalton, D. (1998). Non-violence in action, Gandhi's Power, Oxford University Press, Delhi.
- [5] Duke, E. O., & Okafor, J. O. (2020). Poverty Alleviation Policies of Selected Churches in Anambra State, Nigeria. GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis, 3(1), 40-52
- [6] Edet Mesembe (2002). Outline of Oriental Philosophy, Calabar: Index Educational Foundation Publishers.
- [7] Eyo, E. B. & Ojong F. E. (2008). Peace and Conflict Resolution as a Foundation for National Development. Sophia: An African Journal of Philosophy, 11(1), 1-5.
- [8] Eyo, E. B. (2012). Non-violence Approach to conflict Resolution: Ghandhi's Perspective. The Leajon: An Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 3(2), 153-154
- [9] Fanon, F. (1964) Towards the African Revolution, Trans Chavalier Heakon. New York: Grove Press.

- [10] Gopinath, D. (1946) Political Philosophy of Mahatma Gandhi. Bombay; Popular Books Depot.
- [11] Herman, A. L. (1998). "Community, Violence and Peace": Aido Leopold, M. K. Gandhi, Martin Luther King Jr... and Gautama the Buddha in the 21st century. Albang: State University of New York Press.
- [12] Iyer, R. N, (2000). The Moral and Political Thought o/Mahatma Gandhi, Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- [13] Juregensmeyer, M. (2002). Gandhis Way: A Hand book Of Conflict Resolution, Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- [14] Kytile, C. (1982). Gandhi Soldier of Non-Violence, Seven Lock Publication
- [15] Nagler, M. (1989). "Non-violence" in Ervin Laszlo and Jung Voul Yoo (Eds). World Encyclopedia of Peace 2, New York Press.
- [16] Onoh, J. U., (2018). A Comparative Exposition of Mahatma Gandhi's and Martin Luther King Jr's Philosophies of Non-violence Towards Advancement of Global Peace (Unpublished Masters of Arts Thesis).