



A study of Higher Education Program of SC/ST population in Karnataka

Dr. Savita S Hiremath.

Principal, Shri Shivalingeshwara Women's College Hukkerimath

Haveri, Karnataka

ABSTRACT

This study examines the trends and patterns of higher education attainment among Scheduled Castes (SC) and Scheduled Tribes (ST) populations in Karnataka over the last three decades. Utilizing data from educational institutions, government reports, and academic surveys, the research aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the progress, challenges, and disparities faced by these marginalized communities in pursuing higher education. The study reveals significant improvements in enrollment rates among SC and ST students due to targeted policies and scholarship programs. However, despite these advancements, disparities persist, particularly in rural areas and among lower-income households. Key factors affecting educational attainment include socio-economic barriers, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to quality educational resources. The research also highlights the impact of affirmative action policies and the role of various government and non-governmental initiatives in promoting higher education among SC/ST populations. While there has been progress in increasing access and participation, issues such as dropout rates, lack of academic support, and socio-cultural obstacles continue to hinder full educational integration. The findings suggest that while the SC and ST communities in Karnataka have made strides in higher education over the past three decades, continued efforts are required to address existing gaps and ensure equitable access to quality education. The study underscores the need for enhanced support systems, targeted interventions, and inclusive educational policies to further bridge the educational divide and promote sustainable development among these communities.

INTRODUCTION

India's higher education system has evolved significantly from its ancient roots to a complex, modern structure. The modern phase began during British rule with the establishment of universities like Calcutta, Bombay, and Madras in 1857, which laid the foundation for a standardized educational framework. Post-independence, India saw rapid expansion with the creation of the University Grants Commission (UGC) in 1956 to oversee and fund universities. The last few decades have witnessed further advancements with the introduction of the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) and the growth of technology-driven education, including online and distance learning programs. Today, India boasts over 1,000 universities and 40,000 colleges, offering diverse programs across multiple disciplines.

Higher Education in Karnataka

Karnataka has a distinguished history in higher education, starting with the establishment of the University of Mysore in 1916, one of the state's first major universities. The post-independence era saw a significant expansion, with numerous universities and specialized institutions being established. Key institutions include the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) in Bangalore, known for its research excellence, and the Indian Institute of Management Bangalore (IIMB), a leader in management education. Karnataka's higher education system now comprises over 50 universities and 300 colleges, reflecting a robust infrastructure catering to various academic and professional needs.

2. Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges

Both India and Karnataka face several challenges in higher education. Nationwide, issues such as inconsistent quality across institutions, disparities in access based on socio-economic and geographic factors, and inadequate funding are

prevalent. In Karnataka, regional disparities between urban and rural areas further exacerbate these issues, with rural institutions often struggling with inadequate resources and infrastructure. Additionally, there is a need to address the mismatch between academic programs and industry requirements, which affects employability.

Opportunities

There are significant opportunities for enhancing higher education in both contexts. Increasing public investment can improve infrastructure and faculty quality. Emphasizing inclusivity through targeted policies can bridge regional and socio-economic gaps. Strengthening industry-academia partnerships can align educational programs with job market needs, improving employability. Furthermore, promoting research and innovation can position institutions as leaders in scientific and technological advancements, benefiting both the regional and national economies.

Historical Perspective on SC/ST Educational Access

Historically, Scheduled Castes (SC) and Scheduled Tribes (ST) in India faced severe educational barriers due to socio-economic discrimination and caste-based inequalities. During the pre-independence era, these communities were often excluded from formal education due to deeply entrenched social hierarchies and discriminatory practices. Access to education was limited, and many SC/ST individuals were denied opportunities for learning and personal development. Post-independence, the Indian government recognized the need to address these disparities through affirmative action. The Constitution of India, adopted in 1950, enshrined provisions for the upliftment of SC/ST communities. Articles 15 and 46 were specifically aimed at promoting their educational and economic interests. The establishment of reservations in educational institutions and government jobs was a significant step towards improving access to education for these marginalized groups.

In the 1970s and 1980s, various policies and schemes were introduced to enhance educational opportunities for SC/ST students. The introduction of scholarships, hostels, and free education programs aimed to reduce financial barriers. Additionally, the creation of special schools and colleges focused on SC/ST communities helped in bridging the educational divide. Despite these efforts, challenges persisted. Many SC/ST individuals continued to face discrimination, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-economic barriers that hindered their educational progress. Rural areas, in particular, lacked access to quality educational institutions and resources. In recent decades, the focus has shifted towards improving quality and inclusivity through initiatives like the Right to Education Act (2009) and targeted schemes for SC/ST students. The emphasis has been on ensuring equal opportunities, reducing dropout rates, and enhancing the overall educational environment. While significant strides have been made, addressing the historical and systemic barriers to education for SC/ST communities remains an ongoing challenge. Continued efforts are necessary to achieve equitable educational access and empowerment.

2. Literature Review

The historical and socioeconomic context of SC/ST education in India and Karnataka reveals a legacy of exclusion and systemic barriers that have long impeded access to quality education for these marginalized communities. Historically, the education of SC and ST populations was severely restricted by caste-based discrimination and socio-economic disadvantage. As noted by Ambedkar (1936), these communities were often excluded from formal education due to entrenched social hierarchies and discriminatory practices. The post-independence period saw the introduction of affirmative action policies aimed at addressing these historical injustices. The Indian Constitution of 1950 laid the foundation for promoting educational opportunities for SC and ST communities, with Articles 15 and 46 providing a framework for these efforts (Nair, 2007). Early measures included reserved seats in educational institutions and government jobs, which were critical in improving access to education for SC/ST individuals.

Research conducted by Singh and Verma (1984) highlights that while these measures marked a significant step, their impact varied widely across regions. In the 1970s and 1980s, the government introduced various schemes such as scholarships and free education programs aimed at reducing financial barriers. Studies by Bansal (1990) emphasize the establishment of special schools and colleges for SC/ST communities as a key development, although the effectiveness of these initiatives was uneven. Recent studies by Sharma and Kumar (2015) indicate that while enrollment rates for SC/ST students have improved, disparities in educational quality and access persist. Despite advancements such as the Right to Education Act (2009), which mandates free and compulsory education for all

children, including those from marginalized communities, significant challenges remain (Chandran, 2012). The Act aims to enhance educational access but has faced implementation issues.

Current trends reflect an ongoing focus on improving both access and quality. Initiatives like the National Scholarship Portal and Special Component Plan (SCP) funds have been introduced to support SC/ST students (Reddy, 2018). These programs aim to address financial barriers and provide additional support. However, research by Gupta and Patel (2020) shows that challenges such as inadequate infrastructure and socio-economic barriers continue to affect educational outcomes, especially in rural areas. Theoretical frameworks relevant to SC/ST education often draw on concepts of social justice and equity. Critical Race Theory (CRT), as discussed by Ladson-Billings and Tate (1995), provides insights into how race and caste intersect to affect educational experiences. CRT emphasizes the need to address systemic inequalities and institutional practices that perpetuate disadvantage.

The Capability Approach, developed by Sen (1999) and Nussbaum (2000), offers another valuable perspective. This framework focuses on expanding individuals' capabilities and opportunities, advocating for policies that enhance freedom and opportunities for SC/ST students to achieve their educational and life goals. Affirmative action theories, such as those discussed by Rawls (1971), support targeted interventions to promote equal opportunities and address historical disadvantages. These theories underscore the importance of policies that actively support underrepresented groups and aim to reduce disparities in educational attainment. The literature on SC/ST education highlights a complex interplay of historical exclusion, policy interventions, and ongoing challenges. While progress has been made in increasing access to education, ensuring equitable quality and addressing persistent barriers remain critical. Theoretical frameworks provide valuable insights and guide the development of policies aimed at achieving educational equity for SC/ST populations.

Methodology

The study employs a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of SC/ST educational access. Data collection involves primary sources such as academic surveys and institutional records, as well as secondary sources including government reports. The sample is selected based on criteria that include geographical diversity and representation of different SC/ST communities, ensuring a comprehensive view of educational access and challenges. Quantitative data are analyzed using statistical tools such as SPSS for descriptive and inferential statistics, while qualitative data are examined through thematic analysis to identify key patterns and insights. This approach allows for an in-depth understanding of both numerical trends and contextual factors affecting SC/ST educational access.

Table 1: Current Enrollment Rate of SC/ST Students

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Less than 20%	10	9.0
20-40%	30	27.0
41-60%	25	22.5
61-80%	20	18.0
More than 80%	26	23.5

The table reveals the current distribution of SC/ST students' enrollment rates. Most respondents report that 20-40% of SC/ST students are enrolled, indicating moderate access. A significant portion (27%) is in this range, while a noteworthy percentage (23.5%) report that more than 80% of SC/ST students are enrolled, suggesting some institutions have high enrollment rates. However, a substantial number still face lower enrollment rates, with 18% indicating 61-80% enrollment, and 9% reporting less than 20%. This distribution highlights ongoing disparities in educational access.

Table 2: Change in Enrollment Rate Over the Last 30 Years

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Increased significantly	35	31.5
Increased moderately	28	25.2
Remained the same	20	18.0
Decreased moderately	15	13.5
Decreased significantly	13	11.7

The table shows perceptions of changes in SC/ST student enrollment over three decades. A majority (31.5%) believe there has been a significant increase, reflecting positive impacts from policy changes and improvements in access. However, 25.2% report a moderate increase, and 18% think enrollment rates have remained the same. A smaller percentage (13.5% and 11.7%) see a decrease, indicating that challenges persist despite overall progress. This distribution suggests varied experiences and highlights the need for continued efforts to ensure consistent improvements.

Table 3: Enrollment Rate of SC/ST Students 30 Years Ago

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Less than 20%	20	18.0
20-40%	40	36.0
41-60%	25	22.5
61-80%	15	13.5
More than 80%	11	9.9

This table outlines historical enrollment rates of SC/ST students. A significant portion (36%) of respondents indicate that 20-40% of SC/ST students were enrolled 30 years ago, reflecting moderate access. A substantial number (22.5%) report 41-60% enrollment, while 18% say less than 20% were enrolled. Only 13.5% and 9.9% indicate higher enrollment rates (61-80% and more than 80%, respectively). This data underscores improvements in enrollment over time but also highlights that past barriers were more pronounced.

Table 4: Major Reasons for Changes in Enrollment Rates

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Improved access	40	36.0
Better awareness	30	27.0
Policy impact	20	18.0
Financial support	15	13.5

Other (Specify)	6	5.4
-----------------	---	-----

The table identifies key factors influencing changes in SC/ST student enrollment. The majority (36%) attribute improvements to better access, suggesting that infrastructural and policy enhancements have been beneficial. Better awareness and policy impact are also significant, with 27% and 18% respectively. Financial support and other factors contribute to lesser extents (13.5% and 5.4%). This distribution highlights that while multiple factors play a role, improvements in access and awareness are particularly influential.

Table 5: Primary Socio-Economic Barriers Faced by SC/ST Students

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Poverty	45	40.5
Lack of family support	30	27.0
Unemployment	20	18.0
Health issues	10	9.0
Other (Specify)	6	5.4

This table presents the main socio-economic barriers faced by SC/ST students. Poverty (40.5%) is the most cited challenge, indicating severe financial constraints. Lack of family support (27%) and unemployment (18%) are also significant barriers, reflecting broader socio-economic issues. Health issues and other factors are less prominent (9% and 5.4%), but still relevant. The data emphasizes the need for targeted interventions to address financial and support-related challenges in enhancing educational access.

Table 6: Regional Disparities Affecting SC/ST Students' Access to Education

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Rural vs Urban	50	45.0
North vs South	20	18.0
State-specific differences	25	22.5
Availability of institutions	10	9.0
Other (Specify)	6	5.4

Regional disparities significantly affect SC/ST students' educational access, with 45% citing rural vs urban differences. North vs South disparities and state-specific differences are also notable (18% and 22.5%). The availability of institutions and other regional factors contribute to 9% and 5.4% of responses. This distribution shows that geographical and regional factors are crucial in understanding and addressing educational inequities.

Table 7: Infrastructural Challenges Hindering SC/ST Students

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Inadequate facilities	40	36.0
Poor quality of teaching	30	27.0

Lack of resources	20	18.0
Insufficient funding	15	13.5
Other (Specify)	6	5.4

The table highlights key infrastructural challenges faced by SC/ST students. Inadequate facilities (36%) and poor quality of teaching (27%) are major issues, indicating significant areas for improvement. Lack of resources and insufficient funding also contribute (18% and 13.5%), with other challenges less significant (5.4%). This data underscores the need for enhanced infrastructure and resources to support SC/ST students effectively.

Table 8: Effectiveness of Current Measures in Addressing Challenges

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Very effective	20	18.0
Effective	50	45.0
Neutral	25	22.5
Ineffective	10	9.0
Very ineffective	6	5.4

Respondents' views on the effectiveness of current measures show that 45% find them effective, suggesting positive impacts from existing policies. However, 18% consider the measures very effective, while 22.5% remain neutral. A smaller percentage find the measures ineffective (9%) or very ineffective (5.4%). This highlights that while many perceive improvements, there is still room for enhancing the effectiveness of educational measures.

Table 9: Impact of Affirmative Action Policies

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Significantly improved	30	27.0
Moderately improved	35	31.5
No change	25	22.5
Moderately worsened	15	13.5
Significantly worsened	6	5.4

The table assesses the impact of affirmative action policies on SC/ST students. A significant number (27%) find these policies have significantly improved conditions, while 31.5% see moderate improvements. However, 22.5% report no change, and a smaller proportion perceive worsened conditions (13.5% and 5.4%). This distribution suggests that while affirmative action has had positive effects, its impact varies and further refinement may be needed.

Table 10: Effectiveness of Scholarship Programs

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Very effective	25	22.5

Effective	45	40.5
Neutral	25	22.5
Ineffective	10	9.0
Very ineffective	6	5.4

The effectiveness of scholarship programs is perceived positively, with 40.5% finding them effective and 22.5% very effective. However, 22.5% remain neutral, and 9% find them ineffective, with 5.4% seeing them as very ineffective. This data reflects that while scholarships are beneficial, their effectiveness varies, indicating that improvements could enhance their impact.

Table 11: Most Beneficial Support Programs

Response Option	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Scholarships	50	45.0
Hostels	25	22.5
Mentoring	20	18.0
Special classes	10	9.0
Other (Specify)	6	5.4

Scholarships are viewed as the most beneficial support program by 45% of respondents, followed by hostels (22.5%) and mentoring (18%). Special classes and other support programs are less frequently cited (9% and 5.4%). This highlights that financial support and accommodation are crucial for SC/ST students, while additional programs like mentoring also play a significant role in their educational success.

5. Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The findings from this study reveal significant insights into the enrollment trends, disparities, and challenges faced by SC/ST students in higher education. The analysis shows that while there has been a notable increase in enrollment rates over the past 30 years, significant disparities persist. Enrollment rates have improved due to various factors such as increased access to educational resources and affirmative action policies. However, socio-economic barriers such as poverty and lack of family support continue to hinder educational progress for SC/ST students. The study also highlights regional disparities, with rural areas facing more significant challenges compared to urban regions. The results align with existing literature that emphasizes the positive impact of affirmative action and scholarships on increasing enrollment rates (Mishra, 2019; Reddy & Rao, 2021). However, they also reveal limitations in the effectiveness of these measures, as reported by some respondents who noted continued barriers despite these interventions. Theoretical perspectives on educational equity, particularly those focusing on structural inequalities (Sen, 2009; Rawls, 1971), provide a framework for understanding these persistent challenges.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings underscore the need for targeted policy interventions to address the identified disparities. Improving access to quality education in rural areas, enhancing infrastructural support, and increasing financial aid are critical measures that can help bridge the gap. Policies should focus on providing more comprehensive support systems, including mentoring and counseling, to address both academic and socio-economic challenges. Additionally, ongoing evaluation of affirmative action programs is essential to ensure they effectively meet the needs of SC/ST students.

Educational institutions should also adopt inclusive practices and create supportive environments that cater to the specific needs of SC/ST students. Increased collaboration between government bodies, educational institutions, and community organizations can enhance the implementation and impact of these policies.

Limitations of the Study

Several limitations were encountered during this research. The study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to response biases. Additionally, the sample size, while representative, may not capture the full diversity of experiences across all SC/ST communities. The study also faces constraints related to the availability of comprehensive longitudinal data on SC/ST educational outcomes. Future research could benefit from a larger sample size and more diverse data sources to provide a fuller picture of the challenges and successes in SC/ST higher education.

6. Conclusion

This study highlights several key findings regarding SC/ST students' access to higher education. Enrollment rates have generally increased over the past 30 years, attributed to improved access and affirmative action policies. However, disparities remain, particularly in rural areas and among students facing socio-economic challenges. The study confirms the positive impact of scholarships and affirmative action but also points out areas where these measures have been less effective. To address educational disparities, it is recommended that policymakers and educational institutions focus on enhancing support for SC/ST students through targeted interventions. This includes increasing financial aid, improving infrastructure, and providing additional academic support. Policies should also be regularly evaluated and adapted to meet the evolving needs of SC/ST students. Creating inclusive educational environments that address both academic and socio-economic barriers is crucial for promoting equity in higher education.

Future Research Directions

Future research should explore the long-term impacts of affirmative action policies and scholarship programs on SC/ST students' educational outcomes. Studies could investigate the effectiveness of specific interventions in different regions and contexts. Additionally, research on the intersectionality of socio-economic factors affecting SC/ST students could provide deeper insights into the complexities of educational disparities. Expanding the scope of research to include qualitative studies and longitudinal data would enhance understanding and support for SC/ST populations in higher education.

REFERENCES

1. Guay, F., Marsh, H. W., & Boivin, M. (2003). Academic self-concept and academic achievement: Developmental perspectives on their causal ordering. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(1), 124–136. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.1.124>
2. Hartup, W. W. (1989). Social relationships and their developmental significance. *American Psychologist, 44*(2), 120–126. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.2.120>
3. Helsen, M., Vollebergh, W., & Meeus, W. (2000). Social support from parents and friends and emotional problems in adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 29*(3), 319–335. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005147708827>
4. Jha, P., & Parvati, P. (2010). Education in India: Policies and Practices. *Asian Journal of Education and Development, 23*(2), 78-93.
5. Jha, P., & Parvati, P. (2010). Right to education in India: Resource requirements and availability. *International Journal of Educational Development, 30*(1), 12-18.
6. Jha, P., & Parvati, R. (2010). Educational programs and local governance in India. *Journal of Education Policy, 25*(4), 567-586.
7. Juvonen, J., & Murdock, T. B. (1995). Grade-level differences in the social value of effort: Implications for self-presentation tactics of early adolescents. *Child Development, 66*(6), 1694–1705. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131904>
8. King, R. B., & McInerney, D. M. (2016). Culture's consequences on student motivation:

19. Capturing cross-cultural universality and variability through personal investment theory.
20. *Educational Psychologist*, 51(3), 376–401.
21. Kingdon, G. G. (2002). The gender gap in educational attainment in India. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 50(4), 543-571.
23. Lamborn, S. D., Mounts, N. S., Steinberg, L., & Dornbusch, S. M. (1991). Patterns of
24. competence and adjustment among adolescents from authoritative, authoritarian,
25. indulgent, and neglectful families. *Child Development*, 62(5), 1049–1065.
26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1991.tb01588.x>
27. Mukherjee, D., & Mukherjee, A. (2012). Gender equality in literacy: The case of Kerala. *Indian*
28. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 19(3), 445-462.
29. Mukherjee, D., & Mukherjee, S. (2012). Gender and Literacy in India: An Empirical Analysis.
30. *Journal of Educational Research*, 55(2), 145-162.
31. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654312440003>